Exhibit E Filed 11/30/2 -CM Document 20-2

٦.	
.2	SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK: CIVIL TERM
3	X
4	EDITH SHAW MARCUS and META SHAW STEVENS, as Temporary Administrators of the Estate of SAM SHAW,
5	
-	Plaintiffs,
5	Index No.
7	: - against - 123783/94
1	MARTIN BRESSLER, LARRY SHAW,
8	SUSAN SHAW, BRESSLER & BRESSLER,
	VALERIE GOODMAN, 1912 PRODUCTIONS,
9	INC., MARC WEINSTEIN, individually
1	and d/b/a COLOR GROUP,
0	
- 1	Defendants.
1	X
- 1	80 Centre Street
.2	New York, New York 10007 June 5, 2002
.3	June 5, 2002
. 3	
4	
	BEFORE:
.5	
1	HONORABLE MARTIN EVANS,
.6	Judicial Hearing Officer
_	
.7	
8	APPEARANCES:
.	
.9	MEYER, GREENAWALT, TAUB & WILD, LLP
	Attorneys for the Plaintiffs
20	230 Park Avenue
	New York, New York 10169 BY: WILLIAM GREENAWALT, ESQ.
21	-and-
22	SAUL RUDES, ESQ.
	605 Third Avenue
23	New York, New York 10158
-	
:4	
:5	
10	I v

Proceedings

A'PPEARANCES: (Cont'd)

JEFFREY P. TUNICK, ESQ.
Attorneys for Defendants
150 Main Street
Nyack, New York 10960
-andMICHAEL J. MARINO, ESQ.

RUBIN & SHANG Attorneys for the Plaintiff 9 East 40th Street New York, New York 10016 BY: JEFFREY RUBIN, ESQ.

9 East New Yo BY: J

Proceedings

AFTERNOON SESSION

MR. MARINO: It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between the parties to the within action and their attorneys, all of which are present, that the above entitled action is hereby settled on the following terms and conditions:

Number 1. An entity will be formed.

Whether that entity be a corporation, limited

liability partnership, joint venture, what type of

entity it will be will be determined by what is most

reasonably efficient with respect to making money, tax

consequences and the like.

The name of that entity will be the Shaw Family Archives. Whether it be Shaw Family Archives Inc., LLC, partnership, "Shaw Family Archives" will be the primary name.

Larry Shaw, Meta Shaw Stevens and Edith Shaw Marcus shall be principals in that entity and their respective interests in that entity will be the following: Larry Shaw shall own 50 percent of that entity. Meta Shaw Stevens and Edith Shaw Marcus -- off the record.

(Discussion held off the record.)

MR. MARINO: Meta Shaw Stevens shall own 25 percent of that entity and Edith Shaw Marcus shall own

4.

25 percent of that entity.

That entity shall own and take possession of, in a manner set forth below, all of the photographs involved in this litigation. That includes --

MR. RUBIN: Off the record.

(Discussion held off the record.)

MR. MARINO: That includes each and every photograph shot by either Sam Shaw or Larry Shaw during the course of their respective careers, lifetimes --

JHO EVANS: Excuse me. Wait a minute.

There were some photographs that were claimed by both Sam and Larry Shaw, but Sam Shaw's attorneys weren't aware they were taken by her but owned by Columbia pictures. If you want to dispose of those photographs, they're not a party to it.

MR. MARINO: Your Honor, I don't think we can dispose of them via stipulation.

JHO EVANS: From the point of view of the stipulation you can.

(Discussion held off the record.)

MR. MARINO: The photographs included in the collection to be owned by the Shaw family foundation entity include -- Shaw Family Archives entity include

II

all of those photographs now in the possession -- I can't do that either.

(Discussion held off the record.)

MR. MARINO: (Continuing) All of the photographs taken -- snapped by Sam Shaw and/or Larry Shaw during the course of their lifetimes, together with those photographs taken by third parties which either of them claim as being owned by them via some gift or sale from a third party, all subject to claims by said third parties.

There shall be appointed what we have termed a "superagent." The superagent shall have two roles: number one, the primary role shall be the custodian of all the photographs hereinbefore mentioned. However, it is in the interest of all the parties to keep that group of photographs within the possession of the superagent at a minimum in order to save costs.

Let me go back for a minute. The primary or one of the roles of the super agent shall be to warehouse and maintain all of the photographs subject to a reduction in that collection pursuant to the agreement of the principals: Larry, Meta and Edith.

The second role for the super agent is to determine the commercial reasonableness of each and every deal brought to the Shaw Family Archive entity.

DEBRA SALZMAN, RMR, Official Court Reporter

1

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

2.9

20

21

22

23

24

25

1.9

Proceedings

Each and every deal brought to the Snaw Family entity upon which there is not agreement between Larry, Edith and Meta, and with respect to that their votes are 50 percent Larry, and 50 percent total for Edith and Meta, 25 percent each.

In the event of a deadlock, 50/50 deadlock, the super agent will determine whether or not a deal shall go forward depending on its commercial reasonableness. The respective voting power is 50 percent Larry, no matter what the entity; 25 percent Edith, 25 percent Meta.

Let me try to back up. With respect to all types of transactions other than outright sales of photographs, the procedure is as follows: If the parties agree either to do or to not do the transaction, the super agent need not be involved.

If, however, there is a deadlock on whether or not to do the transaction the transaction must go to the super agent who will determine whether or not it is commercially reasonable to do and his determination shall be final.

However, with respect to the outright sale of an image, photograph -- if with respect to the outright sale of a photograph, negative or transparency, photographic print, negative or

Proceedings

transparency, again, if the parties -- withdraw that.

If any of the parties wishes not to do the transaction and even if it is a minority position, then -- withdrawn. That's not right.

MR. RUBIN: Keep going.

MR. MARINO: If it is a minority position, and the super agent makes the determination that the deal is commercially reasonable, the party, either Larry, Edith or Meta, dissenting, or parties, will have the right of first refusal to make that deal.

JHO EVANS: Off the record.

(Discussion held off the record.)

MR. MARINO: Let's back up a little bit.

In the event --

MR. RUBIN: Off the record.

(Discussion held off the record.)

MR. MARINO: Let me back up.

With respect to an outright sale as previously stated, if any of the parties -- with respect to the outright sale of a photographic print, negative or transparency, if any of the parties -- let me continue.

With respect to the outright sale of a photographic print, negative or transparency or contact sheet, which is a print, in the event of

23

24

25

26

1

2

Proceedings

unanimity between the parties, the deal shall go forward.

In the event that there is a majority in favor of the deal, the dissenting party may nonetheless match the deal presented within five days, and if said deal is not matched by this dissenting party within said five-day period the deal shall go forward.

In the event there is a deadlock that the decision as to whether or not to go forward with that transaction shall be in the hands of the super agent, and if the super agent makes the determination that the deal should go forward because it is commercially reasonable, then any of the dissenting parties to that deal among the principals shall have the right, within five days after the super agent makes determination, to go forward to match the deal. If they cannot match the deal within that said five-day period the deal goes forward.

Now, all of the principals, Larry, Edith and Meta, may act as subagents for the collection, and they shall be compensated by the entity for each deal that is brought to the super agent -- excuse me -- each deal that is brought to the entity via the super agent in an amount which is commercially reasonable

given industry standards.

agency commission in an amount which is reasonable in accordance with industry standards for all deals brought and consummated. Said compensation upon consummation shall only be paid in the event money is received with respect to that transaction by the entity.

With respect to any monies coming in, any monies generated by the collection as described previously, all monies shall be paid directly to the entity, and any commissions or expenses as will be laid out below will all be paid by the entity after receipt of said -- off the record.

(Discussion held off the record.)

MR. MARINO: In the event any income generated by the collection is payable to anyone other -- any one or any entity other than the Shaw Family Archives, the person or entity receiving that check or money or cash shall immediately endorse it over and deliver it to the super agent on behalf of the Shaw Family Archives.

The commission paid to the subagent shall be a percentage based on the gross amount generated and collected in connection with the transaction, and such

DEBRA SALZMAN, RMR, Official Court Reporter

J. 8

1.9

commissions shall be paid by the entity to the agent after said generated money is deposited and cleared in the entity bank account.

All expenses incurred by agents, whether they be Larry, Meta or Edith, or any other outside agents shall be borne by the agent as the agent's cost of doing business.

However, in the event either Larry, Meta or Edith, or any other outside agent request that certain expenses be borne by the entity because of extraordinary circumstances, then if Meta, Larry and Edith agree they will be borne by the entity. If there is a deadlock -- withdrawn.

A majority of the parties may agree to have the entity bear such expenses, or a majority of the parties may agree that the expenses should not be borne by the entity. In the event of a deadlock, the super agent will determine the expenses sought to be borne by the entity using a commercially reasonable standard.

Any such expenses advanced by a subagent without the prior written approval of the entity -- forget that. Forget that. It's assumed.

The parties herein, Larry, Meta and Edith, shall each be entitled to a percentage of the net

proceedings

proceeds of all income-generating transactions after
the payment of all reasonable and necessary expenses,
which shall include the agency commission, if any,
subagency commission, if any, and after the payment of
all expenses reasonable and necessary to house and
maintain the collection, including any and all fees,
reasonable and necessary fees paid to the super
agent.

JHO EVANS: Off the record.

(Discussion held off the record.)

MR. MARINO: Just by way of example only, expenses shall include the super agent's fee, the cost of housing and maintaining the collection -- by "maintaining," I mean kept boxes, glassine envelopes, folders, maintain -- but it shall also include the administration of the entity's business affairs and shall also include the scanning and inventorying of all the images in the collection.

The net proceeds after the deduction of the aforementioned expenses shall be split in the following manner: 50 percent to Larry Shaw; 25 percent to Meta Shaw Stevens; 25 percent to Edith Shaw Marcus.

JHO EVANS: Off the record.

(Discussion held off the record.)

Б

1.

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

11

12

3.3

14

15

17

18

2.9

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Proceedings

MR. MARINO: Any reference herein to the principals' ownership of a share in the entity -- I said that wrong. The principals of the entity shall herein -- the next subject.

The parties, each of them individually,

Larry Shaw, Meta Shaw Stevens Edith Shaw Marcus

individually, indemnify and hold the other harmless,

including the entity, for any and all claims, losses

or liabilities incurred by any of the parties or the

entity.

. Each of the individual parties agrees to indemnify and hold harmless --

MR. RUBIN: Each of the principals of the entity agree to indemnify and hold harmless the entity and each other from any claims made against them for any transaction that they conducted in relation to the subject of the entity prior to this date.

JHO EVANS: Can you tell me what it is you're referring to?

MR. RUBIN: What we're referring to is in the event one of the parties had done a transaction -- off the record.

(Discussion held off the record.)

MR. RUBIN: From any claims including any lawsuits, including but not limited to any lawsuits

which are made against any of the principals for any transaction conducted by such principals or their agents, each respective principal or their agents in relation to the corpus of the entity.

JHO EVANS: I take it that what you're referring to is if any claims are made against this proposed entity, whatever it is, corporation or LLC, 'rd person arising out of any activities that any of these three individuals who are before today, those individuals will rmless and indemnify the entity arising out of those claims.

ect, your Honor.

intity and each other.

And the other principals.

ARINO: Individually.

.HO EVANS: Indemnify each other from the made against them. .

MR. MARINO: Exactly what you said, except the indemnity also extends to the individuals.

. MR. GREENAWALT: And would also be, your Honor, for acts of those parties if the parties have agents and have done things in their name, then that would also apply.

3 4

5

б

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1.F

24 25

26

Page 15 of 15

proceedings

MR. MARINO: And I am saying it has to be authorized agents.

MR. RUBIN: Our problem is Darius, where there is a claim by Larry that he is acting without authority.

JHO EVANS: What you're saying is if he makes any claim against the entity, Larry Shaw will hold the entity harmless against them. Is that right?

MR. RUBIN: That's what it should be. We didn't have any dealings with them.

JHO EVANS: Is that true?

MR. MARINO: That's what they are suggesting. All I'm saying is that the agent be an authorized agent in order for the indemnity to take effect, and then the issue of whether it was authorized or not is --

MR. RUDES: Just a second on that.

JHO EVANS: If this fellow was not an authorized agent and he makes some claim again the entity, even though he was unauthorized, and suppose somebody is from Australia and says they were authorized by Meta Stevens to sell these photographs and he wasn't, should there be an indemnity by Meta Stevens against the entity?